1	DICHARD I DODEN CON 12466	MADY A DEDDY CDNI 212522
1	RICHARD J. DOREN, SBN 124666 rdoren@gibsondunn.com	MARK A. PERRY, SBN 212532 mark.perry@weil.com
2	DANIEL G. SWANSON, SBN 116556 dswanson@gibsondunn.com	JOSHUA M. WESNESKI, D.C. Bar No. 1500231; pro hac vice
3	JASON C. LO, SBN 219030 jlo@gibsondunn.com	joshua.wesneski@weil.com WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
4	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 333 South Grand Avenue	2001 M Street NW, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036
5	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: 213.229.7000	Telephone: 202.682.7000 Facsimile: 202.857.0940
6	Facsimile: 213.229.7520	1 desimile: 202.037.0710
7	CYNTHIA E. RICHMAN, D.C. Bar No.	
8	492089; pro hac vice crichman@gibsondunn.com	Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.
9	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 1700 M Street, N.W.	
10	Washington, DC 20036 Telephone: 202.955.8500	
11	Facsimile: 202.467.0539	
12	JULIAN W. KLEINBRODT, SBN 302085 jkleinbrodt@gibsondunn.com	
13	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP One Embarcadero Center, Suite 2600	
14	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415.393.8200	
15	Facsimile: 415.393.8306	
15 16	Facsimile: 415.393.8306	
		S DISTRICT COURT
16	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT RICT OF CALIFORNIA
16 17	UNITED STATE NORTHERN DIST	
16 17 18	UNITED STATE NORTHERN DIST OAKLA	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
16 17 18 19	UNITED STATE NORTHERN DIST OAKLAN EPIC GAMES, INC.	RICT OF CALIFORNIA ND DIVISION Case No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR
16 17 18 19 20	UNITED STATE NORTHERN DIST OAKLA	RICT OF CALIFORNIA ND DIVISION Case No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR DECLARATION OF MARK A. PERRY IN SUPPORT OF APPLE INC.'S
16 17 18 19 20 21	UNITED STATE NORTHERN DIST OAKLAN EPIC GAMES, INC. Plaintiff, Counter-defendant	RICT OF CALIFORNIA ND DIVISION Case No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR DECLARATION OF MARK A. PERRY IN SUPPORT OF APPLE INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	UNITED STATE NORTHERN DIST OAKLAD EPIC GAMES, INC. Plaintiff, Counter-defendant v.	RICT OF CALIFORNIA ND DIVISION Case No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR DECLARATION OF MARK A. PERRY IN SUPPORT OF APPLE INC.'S
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	UNITED STATE NORTHERN DIST OAKLAN EPIC GAMES, INC. Plaintiff, Counter-defendant v. APPLE INC.,	RICT OF CALIFORNIA ND DIVISION Case No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR DECLARATION OF MARK A. PERRY IN SUPPORT OF APPLE INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	UNITED STATE NORTHERN DIST OAKLAN EPIC GAMES, INC. Plaintiff, Counter-defendant v. APPLE INC.,	RICT OF CALIFORNIA ND DIVISION Case No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR DECLARATION OF MARK A. PERRY IN SUPPORT OF APPLE INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	UNITED STATE NORTHERN DIST OAKLAN EPIC GAMES, INC. Plaintiff, Counter-defendant v. APPLE INC.,	RICT OF CALIFORNIA ND DIVISION Case No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR DECLARATION OF MARK A. PERRY IN SUPPORT OF APPLE INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SEAL The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

I, Mark A. Perry, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of California, and a member of the Bar of this Court. I am a partner at the law firm Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, counsel of record for Apple Inc. ("Apple") in this case. I am familiar with Apple's treatment of highly proprietary and confidential information based on my personal experience representing Apple. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated below and, if called as a witness, would testify competently thereto. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) and Local Rule 79-5, Apple submits this declaration in support of its administrative motion ("motion") to seal the documents and portions of the privilege log entries referenced in the parties' joint letter brief regarding privilege issues. *See* Dkts. 1039, 1041.

- 2. I am aware that the law of this Circuit allows information to be filed under seal for good cause or in certain compelling circumstances. I also understand that courts routinely seal filings where documents include a company's trade secrets, financial information, internal codenames, confidential research and development, other commercially sensitive information, and personal identifying information. I understand that this Court has broad latitude to prevent the public disclosure of these categories of commercially sensitive information.
- 3. Apple operates in an intensely competitive environment. Apple has serious and legitimate concerns that competitors will be quick to capitalize on any release of Apple's highly sensitive information in order to gain competitive advantage. As such, Apple takes extensive measures to protect the confidentiality of its information.
- 4. Apple has carefully reviewed the documents and privilege log excerpts referenced in the Parties' joint letter brief regarding privilege issues that the Court ordered to be filed, and now proposes to seal the documents and information therein that, if disclosed, could harm Apple's competitive business interests and disclose personal identifying information.

¹ Courts in this District routinely grant motions to seal on the basis of declarations of counsel. See, e.g., In Re Qualcomm Litig., No. 17-00108, Dkt. 398-1 (S.D. Cal. Mar. 3, 2018); Avago Techs. U.S. Inc., et al. v. Iptronics Inc., et al., No. 10-02863-EJD, Dkt. 544 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 3, 2015); Cisco Sys., Inc., et al. v. Opentv Inc., et al., No. 13-00282-EJD, Dkt. 76 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 8, 2018). I am personally familiar with Apple's safeguarding of proprietary information, but if the Court deems this declaration insufficient, Apple respectfully requests that it be permitted to file a further declaration supporting filing under seal.

- 5. Apple seeks to seal this information because disclosure of this competitively-sensitive information regarding its internal analysis, research, pricing, design, and communications decisions related to the launch of the External Purchase Link Entitlement and its business codenames regarding ongoing confidential projects, which Apple intended to keep confidential, could put Apple at a competitive disadvantage and thus cause it economic harm. Apple also seeks to seal information to the extent it reveals personal identifying information that could lead to harassment and invasions of privacy if made public.
- 6. Public disclosure of these documents would reveal Apple's internal business decision-making, which could be used by competitors to gain an unfair competitive advantage over Apple.
- 7. Apple has narrowly-tailored its sealing request as to maximize the public's access to court documents without jeopardizing Apple's business interests.
- 8. Below is a chart detailing why the documents are sealable for the reasons explained herein, as well as in Apple's Motion.

Document	Justification for Sealing
Exhibit A (Privilege Log Excerpts)	Highlighted portions of this document should be sealed in full because they reflect non-public Apple project codenames. Disclosure would reveal Apple's internal business decision-making, which could be used by competitors to gain an unfair advantage over Apple. Additional highlighted portions of this document should be sealed because they reflect employees' personal identifying information, and individual privacy rights in personal identifying information outweigh the presumption in favor of public access to court
Exhibit B (APL-EG_10680322)	This document should be sealed in full because it reflects sensitive information regarding Apple's design process and communications strategy. If disclosed, this information would cause Apple competitive harm because competitors could circumvent the time and resources necessary in developing their own practices and strategies.
Exhibit C (APL-EG_10681518)	This document should be sealed in full because it reflects sensitive information regarding Apple's communications strategy. If disclosed, this information would cause Apple competitive harm because competitors could circumvent the time and resources necessary in developing their own practices and

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27

28

	strategies.
Exhibit D (APL-EG_10682416)	This document should be sealed in full because it reflects sensitive information regarding Apple's design process and business decision-making strategy. If disclosed, this information would cause Apple competitive harm because competitors could circumvent the time and resources necessary in developing their own practices and strategies.
Exhibit E (APL-EG_10682431)	This document should be sealed in full because it reflects sensitive information about Apple's financials and about Apple's decision-making in setting prices. If disclosed, this information would cause Apple competitive harm because competitors could circumvent the time and resources necessary in developing their own pricing practices and strategies.
Exhibit F (APL-EG_10699646)	This document should be sealed in full because it reflects sensitive information regarding Apple's communications strategy. If disclosed, this information would cause Apple competitive harm because competitors could circumvent the time and resources necessary in developing their own practices and strategies.
Exhibit G (APL-EG_10720488)	This document should be sealed in full because it reflects sensitive information regarding Apple's design process and communications strategy. If disclosed, this information would cause Apple competitive harm because competitors could circumvent the time and resources necessary in developing their own practices and strategies.
Exhibit H (APL-EG_10737782)	This document should be sealed in full because it reflects sensitive information regarding Apple's business decision-making strategy. If disclosed, this information would cause Apple competitive harm because competitors could circumvent the time and resources necessary in developing their own practices and strategies.

- 9. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of the privilege log entries requested by the Court. *See* Dkt. 1041, with yellow highlighting reflecting the portions of the document that Apple requests be kept under seal.
- 10. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of the document bearing the bates number APL-EG_10680322.

- 11. Attached hereto as **Exhibit C** is a true and correct copy of the document bearing the bates number APL-EG 10681518.
- 12. Attached hereto as **Exhibit D** is a true and correct copy of the document bearing the bates number APL-EG 10682416.
- 13. Attached hereto as **Exhibit E** is a true and correct copy of the document bearing the bates number APL-EG 10682431.
- 14. Attached hereto as **Exhibit F** is a true and correct copy of the document bearing the bates number APL-EG 10699646.
- 15. Attached hereto as **Exhibit G** is a true and correct copy of the document bearing the bates number APL-EG 10720488.
- 16. Attached hereto as **Exhibit H** is a true and correct copy of the document bearing the bates number APL-EG 10737782.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaration on November 1, 2024 in Washington, D.C.

/s/ Mark A. Perry

Mark A. Perry